

1
2
3 Intent to create a Quantification Protocol for the
4 Ridership in Trains
5 An Overview

6 Carbon Offset in the Ridership in Trains
7 Greenhouse gas reductions
8 in construction
9 of Rail Transit
10 in the trade-off between passenger vehicles
11 and train ridership

12 This idea remains the intellectual property of the author
13 First draft
14 April 2011

15
16 **Intent to Develop Alberta Offset System**
17 **Quantification Protocol: {Ridership in Trains}**

18
19 Please contact Climate Change
20 Central with any questions or
21 clarification of requirements at
22 contact@climatechangecentral.com.

23 This Intent to Develop an Alberta Offset System Quantification Protocol document is intended to
24 provide Alberta Environment with an overview of the proposed protocol idea to demonstrate how this
25 protocol will meet the requirements of the Alberta Offset System. The protocol developer is required to
26 present this information to Alberta Environment and **must** receive approval in concept for the protocol
27 before the protocol idea will be considered for development in the Alberta Offset System.

28
29 Familiarity with and general knowledge of the Alberta Offset System is required prior to initiating a
30 protocol. Information on the Alberta Offset System is available on the Carbon Offset Solution website
31 (<http://carbonoffsetsolutions.climatechangecentral.com>) and on the Alberta Environment website
32 (<http://environment.alberta.ca/02275.html>).

33
34 Alberta Environment will review the submitted information in order to assess and provide feedback on
35 the following elements:

- 36
- 37 • How the proposed protocol meets the eligibility criteria in section 7 of the *Specified Gas Emitters Regulation*;
 - 38 • Applicability of the proposed protocol against purpose and intent of the Alberta Offset System;
 - 39 • Baseline adoption levels and credit potential for Alberta;

- 1 • Baseline, project condition, and key assumptions for the proposed protocol;
- 2 • Key stakeholders and technical experts in the field; and
- 3 • Relevant science and technical information

4

5 **General Description of the Proposed Protocol¹**

6 [Provide a written overview on the intent, purpose and relevant background
7 information on the protocol.]

8

9 The purpose of this protocol is to seek to generate an income stream for Light
10 Trains through carbon trading. Building trains in a city is expensive. The
11 intention here will build on the foundation of transportation planning by the
12 city to reduce overall emissions. This protocol will allow the city to add
13 emission reductions to its assets.

14

15 Commuting by passenger vehicle is the business as usual and preferred method
16 of travel in cities in North America. The commuter who travels by public
17 transportation is reducing their emissions. That is their carbon footprint is
18 much smaller than traveling by passenger vehicle.

19

20 When cities plan for and construct public transportation systems they are also
21 planning to reduce the overall emissions generated by travel in the city.
22 When urban administrations improve their transportation infrastructure they
23 can reduce bottlenecks and traffic congestion and improve public transit.
24 Cities will be able to profit on a trade-off between passenger vehicles and
25 Light Trains.

26

27 According to a chemical engineer, overall emissions reduction for 5 000 riders
28 is 2 497 t-CO₂e per year in Edmonton on the Northeast line.² The credit
29 potential for 25 000 riders utilizing a machine installation date of January
30 2002 and a credit duration period through 2010, in Edmonton is approximately
31 100 000t-CO₂e

32

33 Regulated firms can buy verified emission reductions and/or removals of
34 greenhouse gases (i.e. offsets) from voluntary actions arising from

1 **Some important notes to consider:**

- *Protocols should be based on best available science.*
- *Follow the ISO 14064:2 standard processes – specifically addressing principles of conservativeness, completeness, relevant, consistent with others, accuracy and be completely transparent in development and descriptive processes.*
- *Be very clear with respect to the Measurement, Monitoring and Verification requirements to allow little interpretation.*

2 Ahmed Hussein, Ridership in Trains Calculations Analysis, 2007

1 unregulated activities (i.e. offset projects) in Alberta.ⁱ

2

3 Further, the ability to sell offsets provides an incentive for Albertans, from
4 all sectors of the economy, to innovate and invest in activities that will
5 reduce greenhouse gas emissions beyond regulated activities.ⁱⁱ

6

7 **Intent** [Describe the protocol activity and reduction opportunity.]

8

9 Trains are carbon reservoirs, they are like trees. Theoretically they store
10 the greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions, of the riders, when they park their
11 cars.

12

13 Trains store their riders' carbon reductions in the ties and rails of the
14 track bed and in the train-cars themselves. These reductions may be traded to
15 emissions producers to help meet their emission limits.

16

17 Each vehicle while operating emits certain greenhouse gases. In a city which
18 is encouraging a shift in modality (Ottawa), the commuter may park their
19 vehicle to use public transportation.

20

21 The city must invest in the construction of more environmentally friendly
22 transportation to meet this growing need and to reduce the burden on our
23 planet's atmosphere.

24

25 When you look at the construction of trains in a city you can see the carbon
26 footprint is much smaller than traveling by passenger vehicle. The value of
27 the storage of these greenhouse gases in trains is very much like a tree and
28 may be measured in decades. That is, the expected life cycle of the transit
29 equipment, the train cars, tracks and propulsion.

30

31 When a city builds new rail lines it grows like a tree expanding throughout
32 the four quadrants of the city, storing the greenhouse reductions of its
33 riders. In Calgary, with more than 200 000 riders of the C-train per weekday
34 afternoon, the value over decades in its ridership and the trains' carbon
35 footprint is significant.

36

1 The city of Edmonton has retired their Siemens U2 rail cars after more than
2 three decades of service. When emissions producers are looking for carbon
3 reductions to help them meet their limits this trade-off will offer a
4 measurable & usable alternative.

5

6 The new SD-160 rail cars feature brakes which passively regenerate power back
7 into the city's electrical lines. At some point this will positively impact
8 the light rail emissions factor.

9

10 Riders traveling by Light trains reduce passenger vehicle emissions of
11 Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x), Sulfur Oxides (SO_x), Carbon Dioxide (CO₂), Carbon
12 monoxide and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC's).

13 **Accuracy** {Please determine the associated level of accuracy for verifying
14 reductions in this project}

15 There are differing methods utilized in measuring and verifying the
16 reductions (of greenhouse gases).ⁱⁱⁱ The more accurate the data measurement,
17 the better; however, this must take into consideration costs and other
18 practicalities of measurement.

19 Measurements can be categorized as follows where tier 1 is the most accurate
20 and tier 5, the least accurate:

21

22 Emissions factor calculations and associated levels of accuracy

Five tiers for GHG information types or sources ^{iv}	
Tier	GHG information type or source
Tier One	Continuous direct measurement
Tier Two	Site-specific correlations
Tier Three	Intermittent (periodic) direct measurement
Tier Four	Use of models
Tier Five	Use of default emissions factors

23

24 At a glance, it seems like the emission factors in the formula supporting the
25 Ridership in Trains, are to be found in Tier 5. It is unclear where this
26 project stands here.

27

1 However, there is a correlation between the two factors: the baseline
2 emissions factor for cars E_{car} and the rail transport equivalent emission
3 factor E_{rail} , and the formula calculating the emission reductions. That is,
4 the two terms are beneficially related.

5

$$6 \quad ER_{low} = (E_{car} - E_{rail}) * D_{com, low} * NC$$

7

8 E_{car} is the Emission factor for cars

9

10 E_{rail} is the Emission factor for rail travel

11

12 Juxtaposing the two factors and utilizing the calculations supported by the
13 emission reduction formula, presented in the *analysis*, creates a relationship
14 between the two factors. When verifying the weekday boarding figures for the
15 train this **may** qualify the project for tier 2 Site-specific accuracy. The
16 sites being between Clareview and Churchill stations of the City of Edmonton
17 Light Rail Transit.

18 Utilizing the emission factors will ease the administrative burden for
19 reporting and verification of a portion of ridership numbers.

20

21 **Baseline** [Explain the project baseline condition, adoption levels for the
22 province, business as usual activity, general baseline assumptions, credit
23 potential in Alberta, other relevant information.]

24

25 The baseline for this protocol is the amount of emission reductions produced
26 by 5 000 riders in t-CO₂e/year in Edmonton. The baseline is calculated to be
27 2 497 t-CO₂e/year.

28

$$29 \quad ER_{low} = (E_{car} - E_{rail}) * D_{com, low} * NC$$

30

31 Calculating the gasoline emissions factor per passenger kilometer utilizes the
32 following assumptions: according to the US EPA, the 2007 average fuel economy
33 for light-duty vehicles is 11.65 L/100km; the emissions factor for gasoline
34 internal combustion engines is calculated to be 2.446 kg-CO₂e/L; and the
35 average passenger load is 1.15 passengers per vehicle.

36

37 The baseline emission factor (E_{car}) for passenger vehicles is calculated as
38 follows:

39

$$40 \quad E_{car} = 11.65 [L/100km] * 2.446 [kgCO_2e/L] / 1.15 [passengers/vehicle]$$

1 = 0.2478 [kg-CO₂e/passenger-km]

2
3 The commute distance is estimated as follows:

4
5 $D_{\text{com, low}} = 7.2 \text{ [km/way]} * 2 \text{ [ways]} * \text{ [days/week]} * 48 \text{ [week/year]}$
6 $= 3\,456 \text{ [km/year]}$

7
8 The emissions factor for light trains is estimated to be

9
10 0.1033 kg-CO₂e/passenger-km

11
12 The credit potential for 25 000 riders based on an emissions reduction of 2
13 497 t-CO₂e/year for 5 000 riders, utilizing a machine installation date of
14 January 2002 and a credit duration period through 2010, is calculated to be 99
15 880 t-CO₂e.

16
17
18 **Project Condition** [Explain the project condition, activity creating the
19 emission reduction or removal, other relevant information.]

20
21 One way of looking at greenhouse gas reductions is by “Biological
22 Sequestration,^v typically like a forest, which acts like a reservoir for
23 carbon” (Alberta Environment). Each rider of public transportation is
24 reducing their greenhouse gas emissions. Theoretically the light rail transit
25 acts like a reservoir storing these GHG reductions in the ties, rails, and
26 train cars.

27
28 Vessels like light rail transit store these reductions. They expand their
29 service and grow their routes in branches. The more convenient the commuter
30 finds the transit service the more ridership it will see.

31
32 Forests are vulnerable to disturbances where their “emissions and removals
33 are not determined only by natural processes—forest management activities such
34 as harvesting, tree planting, and efforts to fight fires and insects also have
35 an impact.”^{vi} Forests are “considered a sink when it removes more CO₂ than it
36 emits.”^{vii}

37
38 Trains are not subject to these disturbances.

1 **Applicability** [Who is the intended user(s) for this protocol?]

2

3 The urban administrations of Calgary, Edmonton, Ottawa and Vancouver.

4

5

6 **Regulatory Requirements** [Describe all relevant regulations that apply to this
7 activity and explain how the activity is going beyond regulatory
8 requirements.]

9

10 According to the Alberta Regulation 139/2007 the Specified Gas Emitters
11 Regulation under the Climate Change and Emissions Management Act, Part 2 under
12 section 7:

13

14 (a) the emission reductions occur in the cities of Calgary, Edmonton
15 and in the province of Alberta

16

17 (b) no law requires a commuter to travel by light train or by
18 public transportation, however administrations have constructed
19 and continue to expand public transit systems

20

21 (c) the emission reductions caused by commuters traveling
22 on the Edmonton Light Rail Transit began in 1978

23

24 (d) The emission reductions are real and demonstrable.

25

26

27 We can demonstrate real emission reductions using the
28 formula:

29

$$30 \quad ER_{low} = (EF_{car} - EF_{rail}) * D_{com, low} * NC$$

31

32 Where

33

34 ER_{low} is the Emission Reductions in Edmonton

35

36 EF_{car} is the Emission factor for cars

37

38 EF_{rail} is the Emission factor for rail travel

39

40 $D_{com, low}$ is the commute distance

41

1 NC is the number of commuters (riders)

2
3 This project goes beyond regularity requirements. Utilizing the light train
4 emission reductions in a municipality are as yet an untapped trade-able
5 resource and unmanaged waste product.

6
7 Simply put the reductions may be purchased by the supplier of the fuels or any
8 emissions producer who, in a fair market cap and trade system, may adjust
9 their input costs accordingly.

10
11 **Additionality** [Explain how this activity result in real, quantifiable, and
12 verifiable reductions beyond business as usual activity and government
13 regulations. How does this protocol result in new, incremental greenhouse gas
14 emission reductions and/or removals that would not otherwise have occurred?]

15
16 It is clear that the preferred method of travel in North America is by
17 passenger vehicle. In the City of Edmonton travel by public transportation
18 makes up just 9% (1999) of daily trips. Travel by car is the business as
19 usual condition.

20
21 While a city may be defined by its population, all it takes is a quick
22 look at a map to recognize that its
23 transportation system is a fundamental organizing principle behind its
24 urban form. Transport is essential to the functioning of a city in all
25 aspects of daily life and commerce. As a result, the planning, design,
26 construction, and operation of the transportation system have a broad
27 range of impacts on environmental sustainability, including: air, water,
28 and soil quality; habitat and biodiversity; **climate change**; and arable
29 land.^{viii}

30
31
32 The Edmonton LRT started with 6.9 kilometers of track in 1978 and with the
33 expansion to Southgate and on to Century Park the train will travel 20.5
34 kilometers through the City.

35
36 The LRT Network Plan balances the long term transportation needs with a
37 commitment to grow green and create a compact, more integrated urban
38 environment where roads move goods and transit moves people.^{ix}

39
40 Several circumstances become apparent while speculating on why the commuter
41 travels by rail. Maybe the stations are convenient to his home. Maybe he

1 enjoys a beverage, partakes in a multi-media event or reads the paper while
2 making the 20 minute trip to work each weekday. Maybe he chooses to reduce his
3 carbon foot print by parking the passenger vehicle at a point of exchange.

4
5 Maybe the nearest rail station to home has a convenient connection by bus, or
6 travel by bicycle or on foot. There is also the trains' priority at
7 intersections thereby maintaining its relatively high speed and reducing the
8 overall time spent commuting.

9
10
11 The more convenient the transit system becomes the more ridership it will see:

12
13 Expanding the LRT system is a key priority for the City of Edmonton.
14 The Downtown to NAIT(Northern Alberta Institute of Technology) line is
15 the next priority for LRT expansion. Anticipated to be complete by
16 2014, the line would feature a 3.1km expansion that would connect the
17 Churchill Station in downtown Edmonton to new LRT stations at Grant
18 MacEwan University, the Royal Alexandra Hospital and NAIT.^x

19
20 Yesterday, the idea that vehicles off the road and train ridership was
21 perpetually bound together changed when we began to count our carbon
22 footprint. According to Stephen R. Covey "almost every significant
23 breakthrough...is first a break with tradition...with old
24 paradigms(models)." ^{xi} To see this protocol move forward one needs to look at
25 rail transit in a new way, as a carbon reservoir. And that the reductions are
26 additional.

27
28 The ability of the city to reduce congestion and automobile emissions
29 rests on its ability to manage its commuters' needs.

30 One can teach the imagination to see, "our perceptions to evolve." ^{xii} (Zoppa)

31
32 It is clear that urban administrations are planning to better manage the
33 emissions of their citizens and improve the air quality of its air sheds.

34
35 Performance measures have been established by the City that seek to
36 document citizen access to transit, and alternative modes of
37 transportation (i.e. a cycling network). The combined outcomes of these
38 initiatives can be improved air quality as well as healthier
39 communities." ^{xiii}

40
41 Thomas Kuhne has stated, "Yet even in cases like these the range of

1 anticipated, and thus of assimilable, results is always small compared with
2 the range that imagination can conceive.”^{xiv}

3

4 ...convenient transportation options can improve social sustainability.
5 Similarly, building a compact city makes more efficient use of
6 infrastructure, which can reduce the financial burden of maintenance.^{xv}

7

8 Transportation by automobile is the business as usual condition of the urban
9 traveler. Any crisis commonly results in change. Ridership in trains is a
10 new paradigm. The market share of public transit must become more equitable
11 to reduce overall emissions by the cities' commuter.

12

13 Light trains help reduce air pollution from vehicle emissions and
14 greenhouse gases. A transit rider creates 65% fewer greenhouse gas
15 emissions than an auto user for the same trip.^{xvi}

16

17 Light Rail Transit Ridership Projections 18 in Canada

19

Train	City	Track	Population	Average Number of Boarding Passengers per weekday	Projected Rides per km
Canada Line	Richmond, Airport, Vancouver	19.5 km	753,613	105,000 (2010)	4,700 (5 400)
C-Train	Calgary	42.1 km	956,078	205,298	4,900
O-Train	Ottawa	8 km	800,000	10,000	5,700
LRT	Edmonton	12.3 (20.5) km	1,014,000	42,000 (93,600, 2011)	5,500 (4,600)

20

21 Projected rides per km was calculated with Calgary C-train as a baseline.
22 Ridership on the line also known as the RAV line (for Richmond, Airport, and
23 Vancouver) has exceeded all expectations.

24

25 Copyright © 2006, All Rights Reserved

26

27

28

1 Public transit riders are reducing their carbon emissions. These reductions
2 are additional to the business as usual travel by passenger vehicle.

3

4 **Barriers** [Identify barriers that would, in absence of the Offset protocol, disincen-
5 or prevent this activity or project from taking place.]

6

7 People will balk at servicing the baseline condition, a social barrier of
8 sorts. Some may not recognize Trains, as a conveyance for emission
9 reductions.

10

11 Emission reductions have occurred since the machine installation dates and
12 embedded in the ties, rails and train cars. The city of Edmonton has year-by-
13 year records of ridership on its public transit system as well as the Light
14 Rail Transit.

15

16 **Permanence** [Are emission reductions and/or removals reversible. If so, how
17 does the protocol developer propose to address permanence of Offset Credits
18 associated with this activity?]

19

20 Permanence, that is carbon stored in the train ties, rails, the track bed and
21 the train cars themselves “must be maintained for a pre-determined period of
22 time.”^{xvii} This will be a function of the machine installation dates, the
23 dates when the train-cars and propulsion were commissioned and put into
24 service.

25

26 “Under current carbon accounting mechanisms, carbon permanence is
27 typically defined as 100 years (e.g. California Climate Action Registry,
28 Forest Project Protocol 2007)...”^{xviii}

29

30 Unlike a forest, which is subject to fire, harvest and insect outbreaks,
31 trains in Edmonton are not subject to disturbance. Therefore the carbon stored
32 in it is seen to be permanent and not reversible. Edmonton and Calgary
33 continue to expand and improve their light rail transit, increasing ridership
34 and storing or sequestering further reductions in the train reservoir.

35

36 **Leakage** [Will this protocol result in or threaten leakage of greenhouse gas
37 emissions, and if so, how will these risks be mitigated? Include a discussion
38 on possible scenarios that may occur.]

39

40 Leakage is the shifting of emissions up or downstream of the project
41 condition. And beyond the scope of this project. Leakage may be managed by

1 monitoring tools and conservative emission factors.^{xix}

2

3 This project is only concerned with the emission reductions created by the
4 reduced carbon footprint of the light train rider. Verification carried out
5 by the City of Edmonton in Park 'n Ride surveys. And determined by placing
6 side-by-side the two emission factors, EF_{car} and EF_{rail} as demonstrated in
7 Regularity Requirements and the Baseline condition.

8

$$9 \quad ER_{low} = (EF_{car} - EF_{rail}) * D_{com, low} * NC$$

10

11 This plan for mining the embedded emission reductions in the historical
12 expansion of light trains in Alberta goes well beyond regularity requirements.
13 It goes well beyond business as usual rhetoric. Sometimes it is hard to see
14 that this paradigm, this idea, is simply one more way the city is evolving to
15 begin to tidy up another one of it's citizens wastes.

16

17 Alternatively this project may be seen in the light of life cycle management.
18 Correcting any wastage in the conservation of energy from producer to
19 distributor to user. In the case of the
20 C-Train, using wind turbine contracts reduces the embodied energy required in
21 commuting.

22

23 **Conservativeness** [How does the proposed protocol idea address
24 conservativeness in emission reduction quantifications?]

25

26 The conservativeness principle is used where there is a possible
27 overestimation of the reductions or where there is a risk of overestimation.

28

29 To address the potential incompleteness and high uncertainties of REDD
30 (Reductions of emissions by forest degradation or deforestation)
31 estimates, and thus to increase their credibility, it has been proposed
32 to use the principle of *conservativeness* (e. g., [Grassi](#)
33 [2007](#), [Mollicone et al 2007b](#)): when completeness or accuracy of
34 estimates cannot be achieved the reduction of emissions should not be
35 overestimated, or at least the risk of overestimation should be
36 minimized.^{xx}

37

38 Richard Lindezen says we can make adjustments to affect the amount of
39 greenhouse emissions emitted into the atmosphere: that "If we truly believe
40 in warming, then we've already decided we're going to adjust."^{xxi}

41

1 Public transportation is one technology which is reducing greenhouse gases.
2 Expanding these transit systems can create a more equitable distribution of
3 the daily commute. If you can see this then we can begin to make the
4 adjustments.

5
6 Ridership in trains, an emission reduction quantification can be developed
7 based on “A sensible climate policy (which) emphasize(s) building resilience
8 into our capacity to adapt to climate changes.” Steven F. Hayward believes
9 we can build resilience into our policy making.^{xxii}

10

11 **Global warming and the Precautionary Principle** {Describe the Precautionary
12 principle}

13

14 Many people have utilized the precautionary principle to galvanize the debate
15 on global warming and climate change,” ...The principle stems out of the
16 debate on whether or not governments should adopt the precautionary principle
17 and act to reduce emissions even in the absence of (absolute) certainty
18 regarding warming.”^{xxiii}

19

20 The theory gambles,” that it is a better “bet” to act as if global warming
21 exists than otherwise, because the expected value of acting –that is, the fact
22 that the impending crisis due to global warming will have been averted– is
23 always greater than the expected value of inaction.”^{xxiv}

24

25 Writing in the New Yorker, in the Climate of Man series, Elizabeth Kolbert
26 says,

27

28 “A few years ago, Dutch chemist Paul Crutzen claimed that, starting in
29 the 1780s, industrialization created a new age–the Anthropocene. In the
30 last 200 years, industrialization has driven up carbon-dioxide
31 emissions.”^{xxv}

32

33 French scientist Joseph Fourier, in 1824, formed the theory of the Greenhouse
34 effect, “by which gases such as Carbon Dioxide absorb heat, setting a warming
35 blanket around the world.”^{xxvi}

36

37 If current trends continue, atmospheric carbon dioxide will reach 500
38 parts per million; the last time concentrations were that high was
39 during the period known as the Eocene, 50 million years ago. For all
40 practical purposes, the recent “carbonation” of the atmosphere is
41 irreversible.”^{xxvii}

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

There is value in public transportation utilized as a conveyance for greenhouse gas reductions. Conveyance can be seen as a mode of transportation and as transmission of an idea. It is a better bet that the protocol Ridership in trains, can be used as an emission reduction quantification.

The idea has merit. It is up to climate scientists, administrators, government, and people to act in our best interests. It is up to us all to look beyond business as usual practices and take advantage of any opportunities to reduce emissions.

Aggregation [Is this protocol likely to result in aggregated projects? If so, are there risks associated with aggregated projects, and how does the protocol propose to handle these risks?]

Verification [What types of records are available to support implementation and verification of the proposed activity or project?]

The machine installation dates are crucial to the going forward of this project. The City of Edmonton keeps track of its daily boarding figures year-by-year.

According to a Park 'n Ride survey conducted by the City of Edmonton, daily boarding ridership of the 12 km North-East branch of the Edmonton Light Rail transit reaches 44 070 commuters^{xxviii}

Ownership [Identify issues around ownership that pertain to this activity or project.]

The urban administration in its role to handle another one of the wastes of its citizens has to see the successful evolution of these emission reductions.

The reductions may be realized by the service provider and returning the bulk of the consideration to the administration to be used for the planning, construction and expansion of Light trains and public transit.

The city of Edmonton has taken control of its micro-climate by bringing into law its airshed. Therefore it may begin to trade these reductions in an exchange.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

Related Protocols and/or Methodologies [Do other jurisdictions, programs or offset systems have similar or related protocols available, and if so, discuss similarities and differences between the proposed protocol idea for Alberta relative to other jurisdictions.]

Managing emission reductions through the Reduced Tillage Practices quantification protocol has a similar paradigm.

Reducing the preparation and seeding of the acreage soils by eliminating a number of passes by internal combustion engine motive vehicles reduces greenhouse gases.

Other Benefits [List all associated benefits that will result from this activity. These other benefits can include environmental benefits, economic benefits, etc.]

1. Constructing and expanding light trains will result in reduced smog in the cities air sheds

2. Public Transportation reduces the load on arteries in both urban & rural settings

3. Riders of higher density high velocity systems may enjoy a beverage, participate in a multimedia event, read the paper, partake in conversation, work with an electronic device or view the scenery in comfort shepherded by a professional driver

4. These reductions may be traded in whole or part on behalf of both urban & rural administrations to emission producers, and help them meet their limits

5. The bulk of these sales to directly fund planning and construction for branches of Light Trains

More benefits:

Efficient LRT is one of the fastest and safest ways to travel in Edmonton. Trains operate at a maximum speed of 70 km per hour and run every six

1 minutes during peak hours.

2
3 **Safe**

4 LRT security is constantly reviewed and upgraded, using state of
5 the art technology to maximize customer and employee safety on the
6 system.

7
8 **Affordable**

9 The LRT can help you save money on fuel, parking and vehicle
10 maintenance. ETS transit pass customers also benefit from the federal
11 transit pass tax credit.

12
13 **Accessible**

14 LRT offers special accessibility features for customers with
15 mobility difficulties, including elevators, escalators, automatic doors,
16 downtown pedway, hands free emergency phones, and wheelchair accessible
17 washrooms.

18
19 **Environmentally Friendly**

20
21 Light trains help reduce air pollution from vehicle
22 emissions and greenhouse gases. A transit rider creates 65% fewer
23 greenhouse gas emissions than an auto user for the same trip.^{xxix}

24
25
26 **Adverse Effects** [List any adverse effects that may result from implementing
27 this activity or project.]

28
29 There are some contributions by a transit system to global warming when you
30 look at the life cycle of the materials involved in its construction.

31
32 The transportation system is a built artefact. Aggregate, asphalt,
33 concrete, and steel are required for the
34 construction of infrastructure such as roads, bridges, and railways.
35 Materials such as plastic, rubber, and
36 metals are required for the manufacturing of everything from buses,
37 trains, streetlights, and traffic signals, to cars, bicycles, and shoes
38 as well. All of these materials require resource extraction and then
39 processing and/or manufacturing which can pollute the air, water, and
40 soil, destroy habitat, reduce biodiversity, and can contribute to
41 climate change.^{xxx}

1
2 Aryn Machell, in his paper “Towards a Sustainable Transportation System” ,
3 discusses the concept of embodied energy in final products like the trains.

4
5 Additionally, all steps of the process - resource extraction,
6 processing, and manufacturing or construction
7 require energy, which is referred to as the “embodied energy” of the
8 final product.

9
10 At present, this embodied energy is primarily derived either directly or
11 indirectly from hydrocarbon fuels such as oil or coal. These fuels not
12 only have extraction and processing impacts themselves, but also
13 contribute to
14 climate change through the emission of greenhouse gases. ^{xxxi}

15
16 It is beyond the scope of this project to further define these contributions
17 to emissions of greenhouse gases in the components of the transit system.

18
19 However, the City of Calgary in operating the C-Train has taken the step to
20 purchase Wind Turbine contracts from the grid. Reducing the greenhouse gases
21 needed to operate the train.

22
23

1 References [Provide a list of relevant references.]

2

Edmonton Monitoring Services, LRT Ridership and Park 'n Ride Report, City of Edmonton, 2008

3

Transportation Planning ,Transit Monitoring and Analysis LRT
Ridership Report Park' n' Ride Lots Usage Report, 2008

4

Alberta Environment, Offset Credit Project Guidance Document, Alberta, PDF 7915

5

John Hoggan and Richard Littlemore, Climate Cover-up, The Crusade to deny global warming, Greystone Books, 2009

6

Thomas S. Kuhn, The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, 3rd Edition, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1996

Matthew Dance, The Edmonton Sustainability Papers, Discussion Paper 2 - The State of Edmonton' s Ambient Air Quality, City of Edmonton, May 2010

7

Aryn Machell, The Edmonton Sustainability Papers, Discussion Paper 21 - Towards a Sustainable Transportation System, City of Edmonton, June 2010

8

Varsity Consulting Group, Investigation Into Alternative Construction Financing Sources of LRT Expansion in Edmonton-The Use of Emissions Credit Trading, Faculty of Business, University of Alberta, Prepared for Albert R. Taylor, December 12, 2000

9

10 Elizabeth Kolbert, Annals of Science, "The Climate of Man-III" The New
11 Yorker, May 9, 2005

12

13

1 Proposed Timing for Submission into the Offset System Review Process [Please
2 identify the anticipated submission date for this protocol to be considered
3 for Stakeholder review (formerly 2nd Round Stakeholder Review). Note: the
4 Stakeholder review is held once per year in fall.]

5
6
7

- Fall 2011

i Alberta Environment, Offset Credit Project Guidance Document, Alberta, PDF 7915, p. 4
ii Ibid, PDF 7915,p. 4
iii Ibid, PDF 7915,p. 20
iv Ibid, PDF 7915,p. 20
v Ibid, PDF 7915,p. 2
vi <http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/news/source/19/275>
vii <http://cfs.nrcan.gc.ca/news/source/19/275>
viii Aryn Machell, Towards a Sustainable Transportation System, City of Edmonton, June 2010, p. 2
ix Edmoton LRT_Benefits_Fact_Sheet.pdf
x Edmoton LRT_Benefits_Fact_Sheet.pdf, p. 2
xi Stephen R. Covey, The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People, 2004, p. 29
xii Zoppa, Karen, Lecture, 1994
xiii Edmonton Sustainability Papers, p. 13
xiv Thomas Kuhn, The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, 1962 p. 35
xv Aryn Machell, Towards a Sustainable Transportation System, City of Edmonton, June 2010, P. 2
xvi Edmoton LRT_Benefits_Fact_Sheet.pdf, p.4
xvii Matthew D Hurteau, Bruce A Hungate and George W Koch, "Accounting for risk in valuing forest carbon offsets", Carbon Balance and Management, 16 January 2009, p. 1
xviii Ibid, Hurteau et al, p. 2
xix Alberta, Technical guidance for Protocol Developers, November 2010, 3.7.1
xx Giacomo Grassi et al 2008 Environ. Res. Lett. **3** 035005 Section
2doi: [10. 1088/1748-9326/3/3/035005](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/3/3/035005)
xxi Conservativeness, Wikipedia, 2010
xxii Ibid, Conservativeness
xxiii Ibid, Conservativeness
xxiv Ibid, Conservativeness
xxv Elizabeth Kolbert, Annals of Science, "The Climate of Man—III", The New Yorker, May 9, 2005, p. 52
xxvi James Hoggan, Richard Littlemore, Climate Cover-up, Greystone Books, Vancouver, 2009, p. 17
xxvii Ibid, Kolbert
xxviii Edmonton Monitoring Services, LRT Ridership and Park 'n Ride Report, City of Edmonton, 2008, p.
8
xxix Edmoton LRT_Benefits_Fact_Sheet.pdf P.4
xxx Aryn Machell, Towards a Sustainable Transportation System, City of Edmonton, June 2010, p. 3
xxxi Ibid, Aryn Machell, p. 3